

Asymptotic behavior of an affine random recursion in \mathbf{Z}_p^k defined by a matrix with an eigenvalue of length 1

Claudio Ascì

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica

Università degli Studi di Trieste

Abstract

In this paper we study the rate of convergence of the Markov chain $\mathbf{X}_{n+1} = A\mathbf{X}_n + \mathbf{B}_n \pmod{p}$, where A is an integer matrix with nonzero eigenvalues, and $\{\mathbf{B}_n\}_n$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed integer vectors, with support not parallel to a proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k invariant under A . If A has an eigenvalue of length 1, then $n = O(p^2)$ steps are necessary and sufficient to have \mathbf{X}_n sampling from a nearly uniform distribution. In general, if no assumptions on the eigenvalues of A are done, then $O(p^2)$ steps are sufficient.

Running head. Affine random recursions in \mathbf{Z}_p^k .¹

¹**MSC 2000 subject classifications.** Primary 60B15; secondary 60J10.

Key words and phrases. Finite state Markov chains; Fourier transform; generating random vectors; rate of convergence.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the Markov chain on \mathbf{Z}^k defined by the affine recursion

$$\mathbf{X}_{n+1} = A\mathbf{X}_n + \mathbf{B}_n \pmod{p}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{Z}^k$, $A \in GL_k(\mathbf{Q}) \cap M_k(\mathbf{Z})$, p is an integer, and $\{\mathbf{B}_n\}_n$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed integer vectors.

Several results on this recursion have been obtained in the mathematical literature. In particular, if $k = 1$ and \mathbf{B}_n is a fixed integer b , for particular values of p (for example, $p = 2^{31} - 1$ or $p = 2^{32}$), the sequence (1) is used to produce pseudorandom numbers on computers. These matters can be found in the book [10].

In [1], [4], and [8], the term b is a random variable B_n chosen with the same probability at each step, and so the authors study the following Markov chain:

$$X_{n+1} = aX_n + B_n \pmod{p},$$

where $a \in \mathbf{N}^*$. The aim of these studies is to produce uniformly distributed random numbers on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. In [4], it is shown that, for $a = 2$, $n = O(\ln p \ln \ln p)$ steps are sufficient to sample X_n from a distribution almost uniform. Moreover, if $a = 1$, then $n = O(p^2)$ steps are necessary and sufficient. In [7], also the integer a is a random variable A_n , but the same estimate $n = O(\ln p \ln \ln p)$ for the number of steps sufficient is found.

In [2] and in [9], the extension of the previous results to the higher-dimensional case is done, but the recursion (1) is studied only in some particular cases on the distribution of \mathbf{B}_n and on the eigenvalues of A . In the paper [3], the conditions on \mathbf{B}_n are the most general ($\|\mathbf{B}_n\|_\infty \in L^2$ and the support of the distribution of \mathbf{B}_n cannot be parallel to any proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k invariant under A). The results of the paper depend on the size of the complex eigenvalues of A . If $|\lambda_i| \neq 1$ for all eigenvalues λ_i , then $n = O((\ln p)^2)$ steps are sufficient and $n = O(\ln p)$ steps are necessary to reach the uniform distribution. Conversely, if A has an eigenvalue of length 1, only some particular results are obtained.

In this paper, we improve and complete the study begun in [2] and [3] and we provide some results that agree with the one-dimensional case studied in [4]. In general, we prove

that, without any assumptions on the eigenvalues of A , $n = O(p^2)$ steps are sufficient to achieve randomness (Theorem 3.1). This theorem generalizes Theorems 4.1 in [2] and 3.9 in [3]. In particular, if A has an eigenvalue of length 1, then $O(p^2)$ steps are also necessary (Theorem 3.3). This theorem generalizes Theorem 3.11 in [3].

In Sect. 2, we provide some preliminary results and we recall shortly the theory of the random walks on groups. In Sect. 3, we expose the main results of our work, and in Sect. 4 we introduce some problems for further study.

2 Preliminary results

The aim of this paper is to prove that, with some conditions on p and on \mathbf{B}_n , the distribution of the Markov chain $\{\mathbf{X}_n\}$ tends to the uniform distribution on \mathbf{Z}_p^k , as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\{\mathbf{X}_n\}$ is defined by (1), and so it can be supposed on \mathbf{Z}_p^k . Moreover, we wish to estimate the rate of convergence of the process.

Set $P_n(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{x})$, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k$, and $\mu(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{B}_n = \mathbf{x})$, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$; moreover, denote by U the uniform distribution on \mathbf{Z}_p^k . Define:

$$V = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^k : \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{k}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k} \in \text{supp } \mu\}.$$

Denote by d , where $d \leq k$, the degree of the minimum polynomial of A . By definition we have:

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (A - \lambda_i I) = \prod_{i=1}^d ({}^t A - \lambda_i I) = 0 \in M_k(\mathbf{Z}), \quad \lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}, \quad \forall i = d+1, \dots, k,$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the eigenvalues of A . Finally, set:

$$V^{d-1} = \{A^m \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in V, m = 0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

We use the Fourier analysis (see for example [5], [6], [11], and [12]). Define the variation distance between P_n and U in the following way:

$$\|P_n - U\| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} |P_n(\alpha) - U(\alpha)|.$$

It is possible to prove that

$$\|P_n - U\| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in F} |E_{P_n}(f) - E_U(f)| = \max_{A \subset \mathbf{Z}_p^k} |P_n(A) - U(A)|, \quad (2)$$

where $F \equiv \{f : \mathbf{Z}_p^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Henceforth, our purpose will be to find an upper bound and a lower bound for $\|P_n - U\|$ in terms of n and p . Observe that we can suppose $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{0}$; in fact, if we denote by $\{\mathbf{Y}_n\}_n$ the sequence defined by (1) and the condition $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, we have $\mathbf{X}_n = \varphi_n(\mathbf{Y}_n)$, where the one to one function $\varphi_n : \mathbf{Z}_p^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^k$ is defined by $\varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) = A^n \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}$. Moreover:

$$\|P_n - U\| = \|(P_n \circ \varphi_n) - U\|.$$

Let E be a countable group of \mathbf{R}^k and let $f : \mathbf{E} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$; define the generalized Fourier transform $\widehat{f} : \mathbf{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by:

$$\widehat{f}(\alpha) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in E} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{h}, \text{Re}(\alpha) \rangle\right) f(\mathbf{h}),$$

where $\text{Re}(\alpha)$ is the vector whose components are the real parts of the components of α . Henceforth, we consider only $E = \mathbf{Z}^k$ or $E = \mathbf{Z}_p^k$.

The following lemma is proved in [2] (Lemma 2.5), and also in [5], in a more general case.

Lemma 2.1 (Upper bound lemma).

$$\|P_n - U\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $M \in GL_k(\mathbf{Q}) \cap M_k(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\gcd(\det(M), p) = 1$; then, $M \in GL_k(\mathbf{Z}_p)$.

Proof. By assumption, there exist $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$k_1 \det(M) + k_2 p = 1,$$

from which $k_1 \det(M) = 1 \pmod{p}$. Moreover:

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(M)} N,$$

where $N \in M_k(\mathbf{Z})$, and so $M \in GL_k(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. \square

The following two results follow from Lemma 2.2 and their proofs are similar to those of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 in [2]: the only difference is that α ranges in \mathbf{C}^k instead of \mathbf{Z}_p^k .

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $\gcd(\det(A), p) = 1$, $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^k$. Then:

$$1) \quad \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \widehat{\mu}({}^t A^j \alpha).$$

$$2) \quad |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^k} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}, {}^t A^j \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - 2\mu(\mathbf{u}) \mu(\mathbf{v}) + 2\mu(\mathbf{u}) \mu(\mathbf{v}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, {}^t A^j \alpha \rangle \right) \right),$$

$\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that the support of μ is not parallel to a proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k invariant under A . Then, there exists a basis $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k\} \subset V^{d-1}$ of \mathbf{Q}^k . Furthermore, for all $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\gcd(\det(\mathbf{y}_1 \dots \mathbf{y}_k), p) = 1$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^k - (p\mathbf{Z})^k$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\langle \mathbf{y}_i, \alpha \rangle \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In particular, if the support of μ is not parallel to a proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k , we have $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k \in V$, $\langle \mathbf{y}_i, \alpha \rangle \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Henceforth, we denote by B the matrix $(\mathbf{y}_1 \dots \mathbf{y}_k)$, where the vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$ are defined by Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^k$. Then:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{U}(\alpha) \right|.$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^k - (p\mathbf{Z})^k$, then:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) \right|.$$

Proof. From (2), we have:

$$\|P_n - U\| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} |E_{P_n}(f) - E_U(f)|.$$

For all $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^k$, define the following function $f : \mathbf{Z}_p^k \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle \right).$$

Since $\|f\| = 1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n - U\| &\geq \frac{1}{2} |E_{P_n}(f) - E_U(f)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} P_n(\mathbf{x}) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle\right) - \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle\right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{U}(\alpha) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^k - (p\mathbf{Z})^k$, there exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\alpha_{j_0} \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$; then:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{U}(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{p^k} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{x_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_j \alpha_j\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^k} \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} - j_0} \left(\sum_{x_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_j \alpha_j\right) \right) \sum_{x_{j_0} \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_{j_0} \alpha_{j_0}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_{j_0} \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_{j_0} \alpha_{j_0}\right) &= \frac{1 - \left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \alpha_{j_0}\right)\right)^p}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \alpha_{j_0}\right)} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \widehat{U}(\alpha) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) \right|. \quad \square$$

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1. Assume that A has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbf{C}^*$, and assume that the support of μ is not parallel to a proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k invariant under A . Then, there exist $\alpha, c \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for all $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p > N$, $\gcd(\det(A), p) = \gcd(\det(B), p) = 1$, and for all $n \geq cp^2$, we have:

$$\|P_n - U\| \leq 2^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right).$$

Proof. For all $s \in \mathbf{N}$, from Lemma 2.3, we have:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-s-1} f_s(\alpha, j), \quad (4)$$

where $f_s(\alpha, j) \equiv \left(\sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{Z}^k} \mu(\mathbf{u})\mu(\mathbf{v}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, {}^t A^{j+s} \alpha \rangle \right) \right)$.

Observe that, for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, from Lemma 2.2 we have ${}^t A^j \alpha_1 \neq {}^t A^j \alpha_2 \pmod{p}$, and so

$$\left\{ {}^t A^j \alpha : \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\} \right\} = \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Moreover, use the following result:

Lemma 3.2.

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r a_{\pi_i(j)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^r,$$

where, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and all $j = 1, \dots, s$, π_i is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, s\}$ and $a_j \geq 0$.

Then we have:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-s-1} f_s(\alpha, j) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} f_s(\alpha, 0)^{n-s}. \quad (5)$$

Consider the vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$ defined by Lemma 2.4; then, for all $m = 1, \dots, k$:

$$\mathbf{y}_m = A^{z_m}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{v}_m), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{v}_m \in \text{supp } \mu, \quad z_m \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

For all $m = 1, \dots, k$, set:

$$g(m) = \left(1 - 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) + 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{y}_m, \alpha \rangle \right) \right)^{n-z_m}.$$

Then:

$$f_{z_m}(\alpha, 0)^{n-z_m} \leq g(m).$$

Let $\bar{m} \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ be such that $g(\bar{m}) = \min_{m=1, \dots, k} g(m)$; by (4) and (5), choosing $s = z_{\bar{m}}$, we have:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} g(\bar{m}). \quad (6)$$

Moreover, we have the following relation:

$$\mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\} = \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} Y_S,$$

where, for any $\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$:

$$Y_S = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\} : \langle \mathbf{y}_m, \alpha \rangle \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \forall m \in S, \right. \\ \left. \langle \mathbf{y}_m, \alpha \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \forall m \notin S \right\}.$$

Then, (6) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2 \leq \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \sum_{Y_S} g(\overline{m}) \\ & \leq \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \sum_{Y_S} \min_{m \in S} \left(1 - 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) + 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{y}_m, \alpha \rangle \right) \right)^{n-z_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If $\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, reorder the set S in the following way:

$$S = \{m_{1,S}, \dots, m_{|S|,S}\}, \quad \text{where } m_{i,S} < m_{j,S} \Leftrightarrow i < j.$$

Then, for all $h = 1, \dots, |S|$:

$$\mathbf{y}_{m_{h,S}} = A^{z_{m_{h,S}}}(\mathbf{u}_{m_{h,S}} - \mathbf{v}_{m_{h,S}}), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u}_{m_{h,S}}, \mathbf{v}_{m_{h,S}} \in \text{supp } \mu, \quad z_{m_{h,S}} \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

Set $\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{h,S} \equiv \mathbf{y}_{m_{h,S}}$, $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S} \equiv \mathbf{u}_{m_{h,S}}$, $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S} \equiv \mathbf{v}_{m_{h,S}}$, and $z = \max_{m=1, \dots, k} z_m$. Moreover, set:

$$a_{h,S} = \langle \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{h,S}, \alpha \rangle.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{Y_S} \min_{m \in S} \left(1 - 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) + 2\mu(\mathbf{u}_m)\mu(\mathbf{v}_m) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{y}_m, \alpha \rangle \right) \right)^{n-z_m} \\ & \leq \sum_{Y_S} \min_{h=1, \dots, |S|} \left(1 - 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{n-z} \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}, \\ \forall h=1, \dots, |S|}} \prod_{h=1}^{|S|} \left(1 - 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{(n-z)/|S|} \\ & = \prod_{h=1}^{|S|} \sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}} \left(1 - 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{(n-z)/|S|}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that $-1 + \cos x \leq -\frac{2}{\pi^2}x^2$, for all $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Furthermore, if $a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}$, we can suppose:

$$a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}^* \cap \left[-\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p}{2} \right] \Rightarrow \frac{2\pi}{p}a_{h,S} \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Then, for all $h = 1, \dots, |S|$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}} \left(1 - 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p}a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{(n-z)/|S|} \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{a_{h,S} \in (\mathbf{Z} \cap [1, \frac{p}{2}])} \left(1 - \frac{16}{p^2}\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S})a_{h,S}^2 \right)^{(n-z)/|S|} \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{N}^*} \exp \left(-\frac{16}{kp^2}\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S})(n-k+1)a_{h,S}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

since $z \leq d-1 \leq k-1$ and $|S| \leq k$.

Let $\bar{\tau} \in (0, 1)$ be such that $2\bar{\tau}^3 + \bar{\tau}^2 - 1 = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \frac{\bar{\tau}^3}{1-\bar{\tau}^2} = \frac{1}{2}$), and set:

$$t_{h,S} = \exp \left(-\frac{16}{kp^2}\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S})(n-k+1) \right), \quad \bar{c} = \max_{h,S} \frac{-k \ln \bar{\tau}}{16\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S})}.$$

Let $c > \bar{c}$, $N = \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{c-\bar{c}}} \right\rceil$, $p > N$, and $n \geq cp^2$; then:

$$n-k+1 \geq \bar{c}p^2 \Rightarrow t_{h,S} \leq \bar{\tau},$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} (9) & = 2 \left(\sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{N}^*} t_{h,S}^{a_{h,S}^2} \right) = 2 \left(t_{h,S} + \sum_{a_{h,S} \geq 2} t_{h,S}^{a_{h,S}^2} \right) \leq 2 \left(t_{h,S} + \sum_{a_{h,S} \geq 2} t_{h,S}^{2a_{h,S}} \right) \\ & = 2 \left(t_{h,S} + \frac{t_{h,S}^4}{1-t_{h,S}^2} \right) \leq 2t_{h,S} \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\tau}^3}{1-\bar{\tau}^2} \right) = 3t_{h,S} \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $\bar{\tau}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}} \left(1 - 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p}a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{(n-z)/|S|} \\ & \leq 3 \exp \left(-\frac{16}{kp^2}\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S})(n-k+1) \right) \\ & \Rightarrow \prod_{h=1}^{|S|} \sum_{a_{h,S} \in \mathbf{Z}_p - \{0\}} \left(1 - 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) + 2\mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S})\mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p}a_{h,S} \right) \right)^{(n-z)/|S|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 3^{|S|} \exp\left(-\frac{2\alpha_S(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right), \quad \text{where } \alpha_S = \frac{8}{k} \sum_{h=1}^{|S|} \mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S}) \mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}).$$

Moreover, from (7) and (8) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\widehat{P}_n(\alpha)|^2 &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right) \sum_{|S|=1}^k \binom{k}{|S|} 3^{|S|} \\ &= (4^k - 1) \exp\left(-\frac{2\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \min_{\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_S = \frac{8}{k} \min_{h,S} \mu(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{h,S}) \mu(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{h,S}) = -\frac{\ln \bar{c}}{2c}$. Then, from (3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n - U\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (4^k - 1) \exp\left(-\frac{2\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right) \\ &\leq 4^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\|P_n - U\| \leq 2^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(n-k+1)}{p^2}\right). \quad \square \tag{10}$$

Observe that, for $k = 1$, the bound (10) reduces to the following:

$$\|P_n - U\| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{p^2}\right),$$

that agrees with the one-dimensional case studied in [4] (case $a = 1$).

The following theorem proves that, if A has an eigenvalue of length 1, then $O(p^2)$ steps are also needed to reach the uniform distribution.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that the matrix A has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$ (hence, so does the matrix ${}^t A$), that the support of μ is not parallel to a proper subspace of \mathbf{Q}^k invariant under A , and that $\|\mathbf{B}_n\|_\infty \in L^2$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then, there exist $\gamma, c \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for all $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p > N$, $\gcd(\det(A), p) = 1$, and for all $n \leq cp^2$, we have:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \gamma.$$

Proof. By assumption, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ such that ${}^t A \alpha = \lambda \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Case 1: $\text{Re}(\alpha) \neq \mathbf{0}$. From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n - U\| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{U}(\alpha) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \widehat{P}_n(\alpha) \right| - \left| \widehat{U}(\alpha) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^K} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}, {}^t A^j \text{Re}(\alpha) \rangle \right) \right) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \text{Re}(\alpha) \rangle \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\cos x \geq 1 - \frac{x^2}{2}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^K} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}, {}^t A^j \text{Re}(\alpha) \rangle \right) \right) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\geq \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\rho \|{}^t A^j \text{Re}(\alpha)\|_\infty^2}{p^2} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\rho = 2\pi^2 k^2 \sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^K} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}\|_\infty^2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$.

Denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the vector whose components are the complex conjugated values of the components of α ; then:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t A \bar{\alpha} &= \overline{{}^t A \alpha} = \bar{\lambda} \alpha = \bar{\lambda} \bar{\alpha} \\ &\Rightarrow {}^t A^j \text{Re}(\alpha) = {}^t A^j \frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{2} = \frac{\lambda^j \alpha + \bar{\lambda}^j \bar{\alpha}}{2} \\ &\Rightarrow \|{}^t A^j \text{Re}(\alpha)\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{(\|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\bar{\alpha}\|_\infty)^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\text{Re}(\alpha) \in \mathbf{R}^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, for all $p > \|\text{Re}(\alpha)\|_\infty$ we can suppose that $\text{Re}(\alpha) \in$

$\mathbf{R}^k - (p\mathbf{Z})^k$; then, there exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\alpha_{j_0} \in \mathbf{R} - p\mathbf{Z}$, from which:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle\right) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{p^k} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{x_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_j \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_j\right) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} - j_0} \left(\sum_{x_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_j \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_j\right) \right) \right| \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x_{j_0} \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_{j_0} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x_{j_0} \in \mathbf{Z}_p} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} x_{j_0} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}\right) \right| = \frac{|1 - (\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}))^p|}{p |1 - \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0})|} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{p \sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}\right)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{p \sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}\right)}} = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{p \cdot \frac{2\pi}{p} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}} = \frac{1}{\pi \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}}.$$

Then, for sufficiently large p and since α is an eigenvector of ${}^t A$, we can suppose that

$$\left| \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^k} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \rangle\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}} < 1,$$

from which

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\rho(\|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\bar{\alpha}\|_\infty)^2}{4p^2}\right)^{n/2} - \frac{1}{3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}} \right].$$

Moreover, there exists $d \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $1 - x \geq \exp(-2x)$, for all $x \in [0, d]$. For sufficiently large p , we can suppose that $\frac{\rho(\|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\bar{\alpha}\|_\infty)^2}{4p^2} \in [0, d]$; hence:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{\rho(\|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\bar{\alpha}\|_\infty)^2 n}{4p^2}\right) - \frac{1}{3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}} \right].$$

Let $\bar{c} \in \mathbf{R}^+$ be such that

$$\exp(-\bar{c}) > \frac{1}{3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}}$$

and suppose that $n \leq cp^2$, where $c = \frac{4\bar{c}}{\rho(\|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\bar{\alpha}\|_\infty)^2}$. Then, we have:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\bar{c}) - \frac{1}{3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)_{j_0}} \right) \equiv \bar{\gamma} \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

Case 2: $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) = \mathbf{0}$. In this case, $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \in \mathbf{R}^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, ${}^t A \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \lambda \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$, and so $\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}$, which implies $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$; then, there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^k - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that ${}^t A \mathbf{x} \in \{-\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\}$, and so, for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$, ${}^t A^j \mathbf{x} \in \{-\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\}$. For all $p > \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$, we can suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^k - (p\mathbf{Z})^k$; then, from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n - U\| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \widehat{P}_n(\mathbf{x}) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^k} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}, {}^t A^j \mathbf{x} \rangle \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^k} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{p} \langle \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \right) \right)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By proceeding as in the proof of the previous case, we obtain the following formula, analogous to (11):

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{p^2} \right)^{n/2},$$

where $\delta = 2\pi^2 k^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty^2 \sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^k} \mu(\mathbf{h}) \mu(\mathbf{i}) \|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{i}\|_\infty^2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$. Finally, for all p sufficiently large:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\delta n}{p^2} \right).$$

Then, for all $n \leq cp^2$, we have:

$$\|P_n - U\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\delta c) \equiv \bar{\gamma} \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

From the cases 1 and 2, we have the statement, with $\gamma = \min\{\bar{\gamma}, \overline{\bar{\gamma}}\}$. \square

4 Problems for further study

In this paper, we complete the study of the recursion (1) when the Markov chain $\{\mathbf{X}_n\}$ ranges in \mathbf{Z}_p^k , but the study of the analogous recursion in \mathbf{R}^k reduced modulo p , for some real number p , is an open problem. Moreover, the sequence (1) can be generalized and replaced by the following:

$$\mathbf{X}_{n+1} = f(\mathbf{X}_n) + \mathbf{B}_n \pmod{p}, \tag{12}$$

where $f : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is a one to one function such that $\|f\|_\infty < +\infty$.

We think that, by using the Fourier transform defined by an integral on \mathbf{R}^k instead of a sum on \mathbf{Z}^k or \mathbf{Z}_p^k , it is possible to generalize the lemmas in Sect. 2, and to prove the convergence in law of the Markov chain (12) to the uniform distribution on some subset of $\mathbf{R}^k \pmod{p}$, the set where the chain ranges. This set can be different from $\mathbf{R}^k \pmod{p}$, and it can be also countable (for example, in the case where the recursion (12) reduces to (1)), then it is necessary to develop a theory and to establish it. Another problem is to estimate the rate of convergence of the Markov chain: the idea is to use the arguments of functional analysis that generalize the theory of the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of a matrix.

References

- [1] Aldous, D., and Diaconis, P. (1986). Shuffling cards and stopping times. *American Mathematical Monthly* **93**, 333-348.
- [2] Asci, C. (2001). Generating uniform random vectors. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **14**(2), 333-356.
- [3] Asci, C. (2008). Generating uniform random vectors in \mathbf{Z}_p^k : the general case. *J. Theoret. Probab.* (to appear).
- [4] Chung, F.R.K., Diaconis, P., and Graham, R.L. (1987). Random walks arising in random number generation. *Ann. Probab.* **15**(3), 1148-1165.
- [5] Diaconis, P. (1988). *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, California.
- [6] Helleloid, G. (2007). *Automorphism Groups of Finite p -Groups: Structure and Applications*. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University.
- [7] Hildebrand, M. (1993). Random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = a_n X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$. *Ann. Probab.* **21**(2), 710-720.

- [8] Hildebrand, M. (1990). *Rates of Convergence of Some Random Processes on Finite Groups*. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, Harvard University.
- [9] Hildebrand, M., and McCollum, J. (2008). Generating random vectors in $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^d$ via an affine random process. *J. Theoret. Probab.* (to appear).
- [10] Knuth, D.E. (1981). *The Art of Computer Programming 2*, 2nd ed. Addison -Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- [11] Rosenthal, J.S. (1995). Convergence rates for Markov chains. *Siam Review* **37**(3), 387-405.
- [12] Serre, J.P. (1977). *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Claudio Ascì, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Via Valerio 12/1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: asci@dmi.units.it